

**Environmental Monitoring and Audit  
 for Contaminated Mud Pits to the  
 South of The Brothers and at East  
 Sha Chau (2012-2017) – Investigation  
 Agreement No. CE 23/2012(EP)**

**27<sup>th</sup> Monthly Progress Report for Contaminated  
 Mud Pits to the South of The Brothers and at  
 East Sha Chau – November 2014**

Revision 0

15 December 2014

**Environmental Resources Management**  
 16/F Berkshire House  
 25 Westlands Road  
 Quarry Bay, Hong Kong  
 Telephone (852) 2271 3000  
 Facsimile (852) 2723 5660  
[www.erm.com](http://www.erm.com)



# Environmental Monitoring and Audit for Contaminated Mud Pits to the South of The Brothers and at East Sha Chau (2012-2017) – Investigation

## 27<sup>th</sup> Monthly Progress Report for Contaminated Mud Pits to the South of The Brothers and at East Sha Chau – November 2014

### Revision 0

**Document Code: 0175086 Monthly Nov\_v0.doc**

### Environmental Resources Management

16/F  
 Berkshire House  
 25 Westlands Road  
 Quarry Bay  
 Hong Kong  
 Telephone: (852) 2271 3000  
 Facsimile: (852) 2723 5660  
 E-mail: post.hk@erm.com  
 http://www.erm.com

Client: Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)		Project No: 0175086			
Summary:  This document presents the 27 <sup>th</sup> monthly progress report for Contaminated Mud Pits at the South of The Brothers and at East Sha Chau.		Date: 15 December 2014			
		Approved by:   Craig A. Reid Partner			
v0	27 <sup>th</sup> Monthly Progress Report for ESC CMPs and SB CMPs	RC	JT	CAR	15/12/14
Revision	Description	By	Checked	Approved	Date
<p>This report has been prepared by Environmental Resources Management the trading name of 'ERM Hong-Kong, Limited', with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client.</p> <p>We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above.</p> <p>This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies on the report at their own risk.</p>		<p>Distribution</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Internal</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Confidential</p> <div style="text-align: right;">    </div>			



## Dredging, Management and Capping of Contaminated Sediment Disposal Facility to the South of The Brothers

### Environmental Certification Sheet EP-427/2011/A

#### Reference Document/Plan

Document/ <del>Plan</del> to be Certified/ Verified:	27 <sup>th</sup> Monthly Progress Report for Contaminated Mud Pits to the South of The Brothers and at East Sha Chau - November 2014
Date of Report:	15 December 2014
Date prepared by ET:	15 December 2014
Date received by IA:	15 December 2014

#### Reference EP Condition

Environmental Permit Condition:	Condition No.: 4.4
4 hard copies and 1 electronic copy of monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within 2 weeks after the end of the reporting month. The EM&A Reports shall include a summary of all non-compliance (exceedances) of the environmental quality performance limits (Action and Limit Levels). The submissions shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the Independent Auditor. Additional copies of the submission shall be provided to the Director upon request by the Director.	

#### ET Certification

I hereby certify that the above referenced document/ <del>plan</del> complies with the above referenced condition of EP-427/2011/A	
Craig A. Reid, Environmental Team Leader:	 Date: 15/12/2014

#### IA Verification

I hereby verify that the above referenced document/ <del>plan</del> complies with the above referenced condition of EP-427/2011/A	
Dr Wang Wen Xiong, Independent Auditor:	 Date: 15/12/2014

## CONTENTS

1.1	BACKGROUND	1
1.2	REPORTING PERIOD	2
1.3	DETAILS OF SAMPLING AND LABORATORY TESTING ACTIVITIES	3
1.4	DETAILS OF OUTSTANDING SAMPLING AND/OR ANALYSIS	3
1.5	BRIEF DISCUSSION OF THE MONITORING RESULTS FOR SB CMPS	4
1.6	ACTIVITIES SCHEDULED FOR THE NEXT MONTH	9
1.7	STUDY PROGRAMME	9

## ANNEXES

<i>Annex A</i>	<i>Sampling Schedule</i>
<i>Annex B</i>	<i>Graphical Presentations</i>
<i>Annex C</i>	<i>Water Quality Monitoring Results</i>
<i>Annex D</i>	<i>Dredging Record for CMP 2 in October and November 2014</i>
<i>Annex E</i>	<i>Study Programme</i>

**Agreement No. CE 23/2012 (EP)**  
**Environmental Monitoring and Audit**  
**for Contaminated Mud Pits to the South of The Brothers and at East Sha**  
**Chau (2012-2017) - Investigation**

**27<sup>TH</sup> MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT FOR NOVEMBER 2014**

**1.1 BACKGROUND**

1.1.1 Since early 1990s, contaminated sediment <sup>(1)</sup> arising from various construction works (e.g. dredging and reclamation projects) in Hong Kong has been disposed of at a series of seabed pits at East of Sha Chau (ESC). In late 2008, a review indicated that the existing and planned facilities at ESC would not be able to meet the disposal demand after 2012. In order to meet this demand, the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government (HKSARG) decided to implement a new contained aquatic disposal (CAD) <sup>(2)</sup> facility at the South of The Brothers (SB CMPs) which had been under consideration for a number of years.

1.1.2 The environmental acceptability of the construction and operation of the Project had been confirmed by findings of the associated Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) study completed in 2005 under *Agreement No. CE 12/2002(EP)* <sup>(3)</sup>. The Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) approved this EIA report under the *Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499) (EIAO)* in September 2005 (*EIA Register No.: AEIAR-089/2005*).

1.1.3 In accordance with the EIA recommendation, prior to commencement of construction works for the SB CMPs, the Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) undertook a detailed review and update of the EIA findings for the SB site <sup>(4)</sup>. Findings of the EIA review undertaken in 2009/2010 confirmed that the construction and operation of the SB site had been predicted to be environmentally acceptable.

(1) According to the Management Framework of Dredged/ Excavated Sediment of ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002, contaminated sediment in general shall mean those sediment requiring Type 2 – Confined Marine Disposal as determined according to this TC(W).

(2) CAD options may involve use of excavated borrow pits, or may involve purpose-built excavated pits. CAD sites are those which involve filling a seabed pit with contaminated mud and capping it with uncontaminated material such that the original seabed level is restored and the contaminated material is isolated from the surrounding marine environment.<sup>7</sup>

(3) Detailed Site Selection Study for a Proposed Contaminated Mud Disposal Facility within the Airport East/ East of Sha Chau Area (*Agreement No. CE 12/2002(EP)*)

(4) Under the CEDD study *Contaminated Sediment Disposal Facility to the South of The Brothers (Agreement No. FM 2/2009)*



### **1.3**                    *DETAILS OF SAMPLING AND LABORATORY TESTING ACTIVITIES*

1.3.1                    No monitoring activity was scheduled to be undertaken for ESC CMPs in November 2014.

1.3.2                    The following monitoring activities have been undertaken for SB CMPs in November 2014:

- *Impact Water Quality Monitoring during Dredging Operations* was undertaken for CMP 2 three times per week on 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21 and 24 November 2014;
- *Routine Water Quality Monitoring* for CMP 1 was undertaken on 4 November 2014;
- *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry* for CMP 1 was undertaken on 6 November 2014; and
- *Water Column Profiling* for CMP 1 was undertaken on 13 November 2014.

### **1.4**                    *DETAILS OF OUTSTANDING SAMPLING AND/OR ANALYSIS*

1.4.1                    No outstanding sampling remained for November 2014.

1.4.2                    A summary of field activities conducted are presented in *Annex A*.

## 1.5 **BRIEF DISCUSSION OF THE MONITORING RESULTS FOR SB CMPs**

1.5.1 Brief discussion of the monitoring results of the following activities for SB CMPs is presented in this 27<sup>th</sup> *Monthly Progress Report*:

- *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry of CMP 1* conducted in October and November 2014;
- *Impact Water Quality Monitoring during Dredging Operations of CMP 2* conducted from 31 October 2014 to 24 November 2014;
- *Routine Water Quality Monitoring of CMP 1* conducted in October and November 2014; and
- *Water Column Profiling of CMP 1* conducted on 13 November 2014.

### 1.5.2 ***Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry of CMP 1 – October and November 2014***

1.5.3 Monitoring locations for *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry for CMP 1* are shown in *Figure 1.2*. A total of six (6) monitoring stations were sampled in October and November 2014.

1.5.4 The concentrations of all inorganic contaminants were lower than the Lower Chemical Exceedance Level (LCEL) at all stations in October 2014 (*Figures 1 and 2 of Annex B*) and November 2014 (*Figures 6 and 7 of Annex B*).

1.5.5 For organic contaminants, the concentrations of Total Organic Carbon (TOC) were similar amongst stations in October and November 2014 (*Figures 3 and 8 of Annex B*). Tributyltin (TBTs) were observed to be higher at Near Pit station SB-NNAB and at Active Pit station SB-NPAB in October and November 2014, respectively (*Figures 4 and 9 of Annex B*). High Molecular Weight Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (MW PAHs) were above limit of reporting at Active Pit stations SB-NPAA and SB-NPAB in October and November 2014 (*Figures 5 and 10 of Annex B*). Low MW PAHs, Total Dichloro-Diphenyl-Trichloroethane (DDT), 4,4'-Dichloro-Diphenyl-Dichloroethylene (4,4'-DDE) and Total Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) were recorded below the limit of reporting at all stations in October and November 2014.

1.5.6 As higher TOC, TBTs and High MW PAHs concentrations were generally recorded within the Active Pit stations only which were receiving contaminated mud during the reporting months, there is no evidence indicating any dispersal of contaminants from the active pit to nearby sensitive receivers.

1.5.7 Overall, there is no evidence indicating any unacceptable environmental impacts to sediment quality as a result of the contaminated mud disposal operations at CMP 1 in October and November 2014.




Figure 1.2

Pit Specific Sediment Quality Monitoring Stations for South Brothers Facility

**1.5.8**      ***Impact Water Quality Monitoring during Dredging Operations of CMP 2 - 31 October to 24 November 2014***

1.5.9      *Impact Water Quality Monitoring during Dredging Operations of CMP 2* was conducted three times per week from 31 October to 24 November 2014 during the reporting period. On each survey day, monitoring was conducted during both mid-ebb and mid-flood tides at two Reference (Upstream) stations and five Impact (Downstream) stations of the dredging operations at CMP 2. Monitoring was also conducted at five Sensitive Receiver Stations situated in Ma Wan, Shum Shui Kok, Tai Mo To and Tai Ho Bay. A total of twelve (12) stations were monitored and locations of the sampling stations are shown in *Figure 1.3*.

1.5.10     Monitoring results are presented in *Table C1* of *Annex C*. Daily dredging volume in October and November 2014 is reported in *Annex D*. Levels of Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Turbidity and Suspended Solids (SS) generally complied with the Action and Limit Levels (see *Table C2* of *Annex C* for details) set in the *Baseline Monitoring Report* <sup>(1)</sup>, except for the following occasion of exceedances discussed in *Table 1.1* below.

1.5.11     As presented in *Table 1.1*, the results indicated that the dredging operations at CMP 2 did not appear to cause any unacceptable deterioration in water quality during this reporting period. Therefore, no further mitigation measures, except for those recommended in the Environmental Permit (EP-427/2011/A), are considered necessary for the dredging operations.

(1)      ERM (2012) Baseline Monitoring Report. Environmental Monitoring and Audit for Contaminated Mud Pits to the South of the Brothers and at East Sha Chau (2012-2017) - Investigation. Agreement No. CE 23/2012(EP). Submitted to EPD in October 2012.




Figure 1.3

Indicative Dredging Impact Sampling Stations for South Brothers Facility

Note: The locations of sampling stations will be determined on site based on current direction and position of dredging activities.

**Table 1.1 Details of Exceedances Recorded at CMP 2 between 31 October and 24 November 2014**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Tide</b>	<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Station</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
3 November 2014	Mid-Flood	Turbidity	DS3	Limit	These exceedances were not considered as indicating any unacceptable impacts from the dredging operations to Water Sensitive Receivers (WSRs) outside the works area due to the following reason:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stations DS2, DS3 and DS4 are located further away from the works area of CMP 2 when compared to station DS1 at which the levels of Turbidity and SS did not exceed the Action and Limit Levels during the same tidal period.</li> </ul>
3 November 2014	Mid-Flood	SS	DS2	Action	
3 November 2014	Mid-Flood	SS	DS3	Action	
5 November 2014	Mid-Ebb	Turbidity	DS4	Action	

### **1.5.12** *Routine Water Quality Monitoring of CMP 1 - October and November 2014*

1.5.13 *Routine Water Quality Monitoring* were undertaken on 14 October and 4 November 2014 at a total of fourteen (14) sampling stations as shown in *Figure 1.4*. The water quality monitoring results have been assessed for compliance with the Water Quality Objectives (WQOs) through a review of the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) routine water quality monitoring data for the wet season period (April to October) and dry season period (November to March) of 2004-2013 from stations in the North Western Water Control Zone (WCZ), where CMP is located. Levels of DO, Turbidity and SS were also assessed for compliance with the Action and Limit Levels (see *Table C2 of Annex C* for details). The monitoring results are shown in *Figures 11-30 of Annex B* and *Tables C3-C4 of Annex C*.


#### *In-situ Measurements*

1.5.14 Analyses of results for October and November 2014 indicated that the levels of pH, DO and Salinity complied with the WQOs at all stations (Impact, Intermediate, Reference and Water Sensitive Receiver stations) (*Figures 11-14 and 21-24 of Annex B; Table C3 of Annex C*).

1.5.15 The levels of DO and Turbidity complied with the Action and Limit Levels at all stations in October and November 2014 (*Figures 12, 15, 22 and 25 of Annex B; Table C3 of Annex C*).

#### *Laboratory Measurements*

1.5.16 Laboratory analysis of October and November 2014 results indicated that concentrations of Mercury and Silver were below their limit of reporting at all stations. Arsenic, Cadmium, Chromium, Copper, Lead, Nickel and Zinc were detected in samples from most stations (*Figures 16-17 and 26-27 of Annex B; Table C4 of Annex C*). Detailed statistical analysis will be presented in the *Quarterly Report* to observe any spatial and temporal trends.



**Key**

- Sampling Stations
- ★ Sensitive Receiver Station
- Proposed Contaminated Mud Pit (CMP)

Figure 1.4

Routine & Capping Water Quality Sampling Stations (Flood-Tide) for South Brothers Facility

1.5.17 For nutrients, concentrations of Total Inorganic Nitrogen (TIN) at all stations in October and November 2014 monitoring complied with the WQO of 0.5mg/L (*Figures 18 and 28 of Annex B*). Ammonia Nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N) concentration was relatively similar amongst all stations (*Figures 18 and 28 of Annex B*). Level of 5-day Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>) was similar amongst stations (*Figures 19 and 29 of Annex B*).

1.5.18 Concentrations of SS exceeded the WQO (11.6 mg/L for wet season; 13.8 mg/L for dry season) at Reference, Tai Mo To and Tai Ho Bay 1 stations in October and November 2014. However, SS at all stations complied with the Action and Limit Levels in October and November 2014 (*Figures 20 and 30 of Annex B; Table C4 of Annex C*).

1.5.19 Overall, results of the *Routine Water Quality Monitoring* indicated that the disposal operation at CMP 1 did not appear to cause any unacceptable deterioration in water quality in October and November 2014.

#### 1.5.20 ***Water Column Profiling of CMP 1 - November 2014***

1.5.21 *Water Column Profiling* was undertaken at a total of two sampling stations (Upstream and Downstream stations) on 13 November 2014. The water quality monitoring results have been assessed for compliance with the WQO as discussed in *Section 1.5.13*. The monitoring results were also compared with the Action and Limit Levels set in *Baseline Monitoring Report* (see *Table C2 of Annex C* for details).

##### *In-situ Measurements*

1.5.22 Analyses of results for November 2014 indicated that levels of Salinity, turbidity, DO and pH complied with the WQOs at both Downstream and Upstream stations (*Table C5 of Annex C*).

##### *Laboratory Measurements for SS*

1.5.23 Analyses of results for November 2014 indicated that the SS levels at both Upstream and Downstream stations complied with the WQO. SS levels at all stations complied with the Action and Limit Levels (*Table C5 of Annex C*).

1.5.24 Overall, the monitoring results indicated that the mud disposal operation at CMP 1 did not appear to cause any deterioration in water quality during this reporting period.

## **1.6**            *ACTIVITIES SCHEDULED FOR THE NEXT MONTH*

1.6.1            The following monitoring activities will be conducted in the next monthly period of December 2014 for SB CMPs:

- *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry of CMP 2;*
- *Cumulative Impact Sediment Chemistry of CMP 2;*
- *Water Column Profiling of CMP 2; and*
- *Water Quality Monitoring during Capping Operations of CMP 1.*

1.6.2            The following monitoring activities will be conducted in the next monthly period of December 2014 for ESC CMPs:

- *Water Quality Monitoring during Capping Operations of ESC CMPs; and*
- *Benthic Recolonisation Studies of ESC CMPs.*

1.6.3            The sampling schedule is presented in *Annex A*.

## **1.7**            *STUDY PROGRAMME*

1.7.1            A summary of the Study programme is presented in *Annex E*.

Annex A

## Sampling Schedule





Annex A1 - Environmental Monitoring and Audit Sampling Schedule for East of Sha Chau (September 2012 - February 2017)

		2012				2013					2014					2015					2016					2017																	
		S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F
<b>Routine Water Quality Monitoring</b>																																											
<i>Ebb Tide</i>																																											
Impact Station	ESC-IPE1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-IPE2	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-IPE3	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-IPE4	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-IPE5	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
Intermediate Station	ESC-INE1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-INE2	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-INE3	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-INE4	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-INE5	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
Reference Station	ESC-RFE1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-RFE2	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-RFE3	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-RFE4	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-RFE5	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
Ma Wan Station	MW1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
<i>Flood Tide</i>																																											
Impact Station	ESC-IPF1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-IPF2	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-IPF3	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
Intermediate Station	ESC-INF1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-INF2	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-INF3	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
Reference Station	ESC-RFF1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-RFF2	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-RFF3	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
Ma Wan Station	MW1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*









Annex B

## Graphical Presentations

**Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry for Metal and Metalloid Contaminants at CMP 1  
October 2014**




Figure 1: Concentration of Metals (Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn, As; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* for CMP 1 in October 2014.

**Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry for Metal Contaminants at CMP 1  
October 2014**




Figure 2: Concentration of Metals (Cd, Hg, Ag; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* for CMP 1 in October 2014.




Figure 3: Concentration of Total Organic Carbon (mg/kg dry weight; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* for CMP 1 in October 2014.




Figure 4: Concentration of Tributyltin (µg TBT/kg; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* of CMP 1 in October 2014.

**Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry for Low and High Molecular Weight Polycyclic Aromatics Hydrocarbons (PAHs) at CMP 1 in October 2014**




Figure 5: Concentration of Low and High Molecular Weight Polycyclic Aromatics Hydrocarbons (mg/kg dry weight; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* for CMP 1 in October 2014.

**Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry for Metal and Metalloid Contaminants at CMP 1 November 2014**




Figure 6: Concentration of Metals (Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn, As; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* for CMP 1 in November 2014.




Figure 7: Concentration of Metals (Cd, Hg, Ag; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* for CMP 1 in November 2014.




Figure 8: Concentration of Total Organic Carbon (mg/kg dry weight; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* for CMP 1 in November 2014.

**Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry for Tributyltin (TBT) at CMP 1  
November 2014**




Figure 9: Concentration of Tributyltin ( $\mu\text{g TBT/kg}$ ; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* of CMP 1 in November 2014.

**Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry for Low and High Molecular Weight Polycyclic Aromatics Hydrocarbons (PAHs) at CMP 1 in November 2014**




Figure 10: Concentration of Low and High Molecular Weight Polycyclic Aromatics Hydrocarbons ( $\text{mg/kg dry weight}$ ; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* for CMP 1 in November 2014.

Routine Water Quality Monitoring for CMP 1 - October 2014




Figure 11: Level of pH recorded during Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in October 2014.

Routine Water Quality Monitoring for CMP 1 - October 2014




Figure 12: Concentration of Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L; mean + SD) recorded during Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in October 2014.

Source: H:\Team\EM\GMS Projects\0175086 CEDD EM&A for South Brothers\02 Deliverable\07 CMP Monthly Report\27th (November 2014)

Date: 15/12/2014

**Environmental  
Resources  
Management**






Figure 13: Level of Dissolved Oxygen (% saturation; mean + SD) recorded during Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in October 2014.




Figure 14: Level of Salinity (ppt; mean + SD) recorded during Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in October 2014.

### Routine Water Quality Monitoring for CMP 1 - October 2014




Figure 15: Level of Turbidity (NTU; mean + SD) recorded during Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in October 2014.

### Routine Water Quality Monitoring Results for Metals October 2014




Figure 16: Concentration of Arsenic, Chromium, Lead, Nickel (mean + SD) in water samples collected from Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in October 2014.




Figure 17: Concentration of Copper and Zinc (mean + SD) in water samples collected from Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in October 2014.




Figure 18: Concentration of Total Inorganic Nitrogen and NH<sub>3</sub>-N (mean + SD) in water samples collected from Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in October 2014.

**Routine Water Quality Monitoring Results for Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>)  
October 2014**




Figure 19: Level of Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>; mean + SD) in water samples collected from Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in October 2014.

**Routine Water Quality Monitoring for Suspended Solids  
October 2014**




Figure 20: Concentration of Suspended Solids (mean + SD) in water samples collected from Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in October 2014.

Routine Water Quality Monitoring for CMP 1 - November 2014




Figure 21: Level of pH recorded during Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in November 2014.

Routine Water Quality Monitoring for CMP 1 - November 2014




Figure 22: Concentration of Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L; mean + SD) recorded during Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in November 2014.

**Routine Water Quality Monitoring CMP 1 - November 2014**




Figure 23: Level of Dissolved Oxygen (% saturation; mean + SD) recorded during Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in November 2014.

**Routine Water Quality Monitoring for CMP 1 - November 2014**




Figure 24: Level of Salinity (ppt; mean + SD) recorded during Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in November 2014.

**Routine Water Quality Monitoring for CMP 1 - November 2014**




Figure 25: Level of Turbidity (NTU; mean + SD) recorded during Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in November 2014.

**Routine Water Quality Monitoring Results for Metals  
November 2014**




Figure 26: Concentration of Arsenic, Chromium, Lead, Nickel (mean + SD) in water samples collected from Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in November 2014.




Figure 27: Concentration of Copper and Zinc (mean + SD) in water samples collected from Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in November 2014.




Figure 28: Concentration of Total Inorganic Nitrogen and NH<sub>3</sub>-N (mean + SD) in water samples collected from Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in November 2014.

Source: H:\Team\EM\GMS Projects\0175086 CEDD EM&A for South Brothers\02 Deliverable\07 CMP Monthly Report\27th (November 2014)

Date: 15/12/2014

**Environmental  
Resources  
Management**



**Routine Water Quality Monitoring Results for Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>)  
November 2014**




Figure 29: Level of Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>; mean + SD) in water samples collected from Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in November 2014.

**Routine Water Quality Monitoring for Suspended Solids  
November 2014**




Figure 30: Concentration of Suspended Solids (mean + SD) in water samples collected from Routine Water Quality Monitoring for disposal operations at CMP 1 in November 2014.

Source: H:\Team\EM\GMS Projects\0175086 CEDD EM&A for South Brothers\02 Deliverable\07 CMP Monthly Report\27th (November 2014)

Date: 15/12/2014

**Environmental  
Resources  
Management**



Annex C

## Water Quality Monitoring Results

**Table C1** *Summary Table of DO, Turbidity and SS Levels Recorded between 31 October and 24 November 2014*

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth		
2014/10/31	Mid-Ebb	DS1	5.90	5.95	7.87	9.53
		DS2	5.81	5.94	9.90	9.71
		DS3	5.78	5.80	6.71	5.76
		DS4	5.71	5.85	5.39	8.23
		DS5	5.68	5.81	6.24	7.99
		US1	6.12	6.08	9.71	15.60
		US2	6.28	6.21	17.35	13.87
		MW1	5.68	5.69	3.86	6.49
		THB1	6.06	6.09	12.52	13.30
		THB2	-	6.04	8.85	8.37
		WSR45C	5.61	5.90	5.05	6.09
		WSR46	5.82	6.09	9.11	10.39
	Mid-Flood	DS1	6.12	6.16	9.44	12.73
		DS2	6.12	6.23	12.97	15.70
		DS3	6.07	6.19	15.11	13.76
		DS4	6.30	6.29	11.89	13.28
		DS5	6.47	6.44	9.32	10.57
		US1	5.93	5.94	14.28	17.96
		US2	5.84	6.14	8.44	5.98
		MW1	5.71	5.77	5.79	7.46
		THB1	6.03	6.10	20.41	14.32
		THB2	-	6.20	11.29	11.67
		WSR45C	5.74	5.90	9.87	11.29
		WSR46	5.82	5.97	19.21	17.90
2014/11/03	Mid-Ebb	DS1	5.70	5.92	7.88	12.05
		DS2	5.68	5.88	11.53	14.61
		DS3	5.91	5.95	4.57	8.46
		DS4	5.80	5.93	5.15	7.82
		DS5	5.71	5.89	5.40	6.69
		US1	6.10	6.06	11.29	15.57
		US2	6.16	6.15	7.77	11.12
		MW1	5.76	5.73	3.64	6.10
		THB1	6.08	6.03	6.89	10.27
		THB2	-	5.80	11.22	6.03
		WSR45C	5.69	5.85	6.48	7.76
		WSR46	5.59	5.81	8.60	13.52
	Mid-Flood	DS1	5.83	5.81	9.94	14.25
		DS2	5.62	5.80	20.51	23.80
		DS3	5.98	5.98	34.98	28.10
		DS4	6.09	6.08	11.58	15.77
		DS5	6.02	6.07	6.92	9.54
		US1	5.88	5.86	4.48	7.95
		US2	5.60	5.68	14.79	18.67
		MW1	5.79	5.80	4.13	6.49
		THB1	5.95	5.94	26.19	16.93
		THB2	-	6.43	7.46	8.50
		WSR45C	5.62	5.78	8.72	16.80
		WSR46	5.52	5.76	11.61	12.60

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth		
2014/11/05	Mid-Ebb	DS1	6.01	6.00	6.41	8.23
		DS2	5.88	5.93	5.07	8.59
		DS3	6.03	6.01	4.01	7.03
		DS4	5.90	5.89	29.24	18.13
		DS5	5.91	5.96	21.54	18.23
		US1	6.15	6.09	5.66	7.55
		US2	6.27	6.29	5.43	9.15
		MW1	5.86	5.88	2.74	5.82
		THB1	5.90	5.98	5.10	6.22
		THB2	-	5.97	6.43	8.13
		WSR45C	5.80	5.95	5.90	9.78
		WSR46	6.24	6.27	9.29	13.09
		Mid-Flood	DS1	5.92	5.96	9.41
	DS2		5.92	5.98	13.94	21.00
	DS3		5.99	5.97	22.25	18.58
	DS4		6.12	6.24	6.50	8.96
	DS5		6.21	6.22	7.45	10.10
	US1		6.20	6.22	9.81	13.28
	US2		6.29	6.11	12.11	15.94
	MW1		5.85	5.86	7.09	10.54
	THB1		5.90	5.95	24.66	20.45
	THB2		-	5.82	11.99	12.83
	WSR45C	5.89	5.95	21.73	17.72	
WSR46	6.14	6.17	7.82	9.13		
2014/11/07	Mid-Ebb	DS1	6.35	6.35	4.60	5.32
		DS2	6.36	6.38	3.95	5.34
		DS3	6.07	6.10	6.62	7.22
		DS4	6.02	6.03	10.71	12.93
		DS5	6.06	6.12	9.32	9.04
		US1	6.37	6.40	4.09	4.85
		US2	6.41	6.41	4.02	5.50
		MW1	5.84	5.90	3.07	5.03
		THB1	6.30	6.32	3.47	10.90
		THB2	-	5.97	4.38	3.27
		WSR45C	5.87	6.08	3.81	4.44
		WSR46	6.23	6.27	6.92	7.79
		Mid-Flood	DS1	6.09	6.14	11.03
	DS2		6.27	6.28	7.37	13.27
	DS3		6.33	6.33	8.84	11.37
	DS4		6.46	6.45	4.56	5.10
	DS5		6.13	6.17	5.19	7.76
	US1		5.97	6.00	5.99	5.85
	US2		6.16	6.19	10.04	13.72
	MW1		5.93	5.94	4.54	6.46
	THB1		4.63	4.51	17.30	16.75
	THB2		-	5.73	4.25	6.10
	WSR45C	6.05	6.17	6.38	7.91	
WSR46	6.18	6.16	8.95	9.12		
2014/11/10	Mid-Ebb	DS1	5.54	5.52	6.82	8.28
		DS2	6.24	6.44	4.98	7.69
		DS3	6.14	6.48	4.37	5.93
		DS4	6.25	6.66	4.09	6.02
		DS5	6.08	6.49	4.21	7.03

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth		
		US1	5.52	5.53	4.22	5.97
		US2	5.50	5.48	4.84	5.83
		MW1	6.32	6.40	2.16	6.08
		THB1	5.70	5.34	4.27	5.43
		THB2	-	6.31	6.34	6.10
		WSR45C	6.07	6.45	5.04	7.48
		WSR46	6.26	6.44	4.56	7.26
	Mid-Flood	DS1	6.36	6.40	9.46	12.65
		DS2	6.34	6.34	16.83	17.68
		DS3	6.39	6.40	8.16	12.07
		DS4	6.50	6.50	3.79	5.18
		DS5	6.38	6.39	4.70	5.62
		US1	6.50	6.52	5.12	6.80
		US2	6.37	6.42	5.21	7.76
		MW1	6.13	6.12	4.49	8.07
		THB1	6.40	6.38	4.45	6.83
		THB2	-	5.66	3.65	10.80
		WSR45C	6.28	6.30	9.37	7.99
		WSR46	6.38	6.38	7.07	8.18
2014/11/12	Mid-Ebb	DS1	7.50	7.58	3.94	4.22
		DS2	7.89	7.95	3.59	4.48
		DS3	7.83	7.89	2.89	4.86
		DS4	6.72	7.32	3.68	4.32
		DS5	6.73	7.46	3.49	3.19
		US1	7.85	8.14	9.00	9.53
		US2	7.92	8.14	5.17	6.53
		MW1	6.88	6.94	2.26	2.84
		THB1	8.11	8.13	7.25	7.80
		THB2	-	7.17	2.88	9.00
		WSR45C	6.71	7.50	3.37	4.20
		WSR46	7.14	7.25	5.73	6.67
	Mid-Flood	DS1	7.16	7.22	5.35	7.25
		DS2	7.13	7.26	18.71	13.27
		DS3	7.07	7.20	9.71	10.77
		DS4	5.94	5.95	5.67	6.67
		DS5	5.94	5.96	3.67	4.46
		US1	7.61	7.41	4.55	4.57
		US2	7.18	7.27	4.00	4.74
		MW1	6.37	6.49	3.55	4.29
		THB1	7.07	7.28	8.34	10.03
		THB2	-	6.23	5.44	7.27
		WSR45C	6.63	6.94	4.78	4.61
		WSR46	6.90	7.04	5.76	5.68
2014/11/14	Mid-Ebb	DS1	7.45	7.52	8.15	16.08
		DS2	7.25	7.38	6.35	11.94
		DS3	7.26	7.37	3.27	3.91
		DS4	7.04	7.37	3.31	3.86
		DS5	7.26	7.38	2.78	3.17
		US1	7.73	7.73	4.40	3.73
		US2	7.73	7.73	2.58	3.83
		MW1	7.18	7.20	1.70	2.77
		THB1	7.57	7.49	3.39	2.60

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth		
		THB2	-	6.69	3.15	3.13
		WSR45C	6.93	7.47	2.39	2.60
		WSR46	7.42	7.54	3.87	4.01
	Mid-Flood	DS1	8.06	8.26	5.17	3.72
		DS2	8.83	9.00	7.02	7.67
		DS3	8.82	8.92	5.52	6.87
		DS4	8.37	8.44	4.25	5.18
		DS5	8.34	8.62	2.61	3.72
		US1	7.36	7.48	3.07	3.50
		US2	7.92	8.44	3.05	4.23
		MW1	7.08	7.14	1.50	3.56
		THB1	8.75	8.80	5.47	5.72
		THB2	-	7.58	3.15	4.27
		WSR45C	7.01	7.45	2.67	3.57
		WSR46	6.86	7.69	3.44	4.06
2014/11/17	Mid-Ebb	DS1	8.46	8.48	2.70	7.77
		DS2	8.40	8.43	1.95	4.00
		DS3	8.46	8.52	1.65	3.47
		DS4	8.67	8.69	1.54	4.21
		DS5	8.53	8.71	1.62	3.86
		US1	8.95	9.00	3.29	9.10
		US2	9.81	9.83	2.46	3.17
		MW1	7.71	7.76	1.26	1.98
		THB1	10.23	10.24	2.13	3.43
		THB2	-	9.74	0.65	2.17
		WSR45C	8.01	8.37	2.26	2.49
		WSR46	8.23	8.80	2.95	3.22
	Mid-Flood	DS1	9.42	10.06	5.84	12.58
		DS2	11.29	11.70	3.62	5.47
		DS3	11.72	12.12	5.71	9.88
		DS4	10.95	11.95	4.43	5.92
		DS5	11.51	11.51	1.13	3.36
		US1	11.24	11.79	1.89	2.82
		US2	9.21	10.35	1.76	4.74
		MW1	7.85	7.97	1.50	3.28
		THB1	9.88	10.08	7.53	5.03
		THB2	-	8.36	0.45	19.10
		WSR45C	8.64	8.97	2.77	3.83
		WSR46	8.23	9.16	4.76	4.57
2014/11/19	Mid-Ebb	DS1	8.56	8.61	2.31	3.04
		DS2	8.62	8.90	2.15	3.80
		DS3	8.45	8.76	2.60	2.61
		DS4	8.30	8.55	1.87	2.41
		DS5	8.32	8.58	1.39	3.02
		US1	9.57	9.65	2.13	2.77
		US2	9.89	10.04	1.65	2.33
		MW1	7.87	7.94	1.42	2.20
		THB1	9.86	9.94	1.76	2.83
		THB2	-	9.00	3.62	2.83
		WSR45C	8.14	8.44	1.44	2.69
		WSR46	8.91	9.35	2.31	2.90
	Mid-Flood	DS1	9.40	9.55	3.79	4.87

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth		
		DS2	9.04	9.42	4.10	5.00
		DS3	10.38	10.27	1.91	2.22
		DS4	10.72	9.99	1.90	3.61
		DS5	9.25	9.40	1.68	3.08
		US1	9.13	9.16	2.47	3.39
		US2	8.98	9.03	2.72	2.99
		MW1	7.62	7.67	2.04	3.08
		THB1	9.54	9.63	9.12	10.73
		THB2	-	8.57	0.95	4.37
		WSR45C	8.47	8.56	5.41	7.13
		WSR46	8.80	9.11	3.55	4.21
2014/11/21	Mid-Ebb	DS1	8.47	8.78	3.02	5.40
		DS2	8.87	9.13	3.06	6.31
		DS3	8.65	8.86	3.18	8.74
		DS4	8.85	9.09	2.20	6.01
		DS5	8.58	9.07	2.33	8.24
		US1	9.44	9.68	2.50	3.78
		US2	9.15	9.13	1.83	7.57
		MW1	7.47	7.55	2.21	7.82
		THB1	9.43	9.29	1.53	5.40
		THB2	-	8.72	0.38	7.63
		WSR45C	8.09	8.44	2.41	4.82
		WSR46	8.66	8.98	1.75	5.70
	Mid-Flood	DS1	8.99	9.50	6.30	7.95
		DS2	9.56	9.52	1.68	5.25
		DS3	9.23	9.22	2.53	5.50
		DS4	8.73	8.97	1.80	5.13
		DS5	8.72	8.84	2.26	6.37
		US1	8.97	9.04	5.87	10.38
		US2	9.16	9.30	5.10	9.14
		MW1	8.04	8.09	3.36	11.77
		THB1	9.92	9.68	1.25	5.13
		THB2	-	9.58	4.52	10.47
		WSR45C	8.93	9.00	4.78	7.89
		WSR46	9.25	9.42	3.93	11.37
2014/11/24	Mid-Ebb	DS1	8.64	8.98	2.08	4.42
		DS2	8.23	8.60	3.99	7.90
		DS3	8.28	8.64	4.75	6.63
		DS4	8.34	8.69	3.26	6.92
		DS5	8.36	8.64	3.88	6.34
		US1	8.97	9.18	3.09	5.10
		US2	8.80	8.95	2.03	2.93
		MW1	8.13	8.60	2.40	11.22
		THB1	9.01	8.97	3.06	4.30
		THB2	-	8.03	2.02	4.43
		WSR45C	8.07	8.93	2.31	3.68
		WSR46	8.30	8.56	4.56	6.98
	Mid-Flood	DS1	8.32	8.37	4.67	6.98
		DS2	8.16	8.26	3.36	14.05
		DS3	8.15	8.21	3.12	4.50
		DS4	7.90	7.93	1.36	4.86
		DS5	7.77	7.81	2.60	4.06
		US1	8.31	8.37	3.20	5.25

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth		
		US2	8.31	8.57	3.11	8.67
		MW1	8.11	8.18	3.71	9.96
		THB1	7.98	8.02	3.09	4.05
		THB2	-	7.16	1.25	5.13
		WSR45C	8.28	8.41	5.33	10.12
		WSR46	8.28	8.45	4.49	6.49

Notes:

1. Please refer to Table C2 below for the Action and Limit Levels for dredging activities.
2. Cell shaded yellow indicated value exceeding the Action Level criteria.
3. Cell shaded red indicated value exceeding the Limit Level criteria.
4. Only mid-depth water was sampled at Station THB2 because water depth was less than 3m.

**Table C2 Action and Limit Levels of Water Quality for Dredging, Backfilling and Capping Activities**

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Action Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
Dissolved Oxygen (DO) <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>Surface and Mid-depth</u> <sup>(2)</sup> The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are < 5%-ile of baseline data for surface and middle layer = <b>4.32 mg L<sup>-1</sup></b>	<u>Surface and Mid-depth</u> <sup>(2)</sup> The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are < <b>4 mg L<sup>-1</sup></b>
	and  Significantly less than the reference stations mean DO (at the same tide of the same day)	and  Significantly less than the reference stations mean DO (at the same tide of the same day)
	<u>Bottom</u> The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are < 5%-ile of baseline data for bottom layers = <b>3.12 mg L<sup>-1</sup></b>	<u>Bottom</u> The average of the impact station, WSR 45C and WSR 46 readings are < <b>2 mg L<sup>-1</sup></b>
	and  Significantly less than the reference stations mean DO (at the same tide of the same day)	and  Significantly less than the reference stations mean DO (at the same tide of the same day)
Depth-averaged Suspended Solids (SS) <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are > 95%-ile of baseline data for depth average = <b>21.60 mg L<sup>-1</sup></b>	The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are > 99%-ile of baseline data for depth average = <b>40.10 mg L<sup>-1</sup></b>
	and  120% of control station's SS at the same tide of the same day	and  130% of control station's SS at the same tide of the same day
Depth-averaged Turbidity (Tby) <sup>(3)(4)</sup>	The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are > 95%-ile of baseline data = <b>25.04 NTU</b>	The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are > 99%-ile of baseline data = <b>32.68 NTU</b>
	and  120% of control station's Tby at the same tide of the same day	and  130% of control station's Tby at the same tide of the same day

**Notes:**

- (1) For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
- (2) The Action and Limit Levels for DO for Surface & Middle layers were calculated from the combined pool of baseline surface layer data and baseline middle layer data.
- (3) "Depth-averaged" is calculated by taking the arithmetic means of reading of all three depths.
- (4) For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

**Table C3** *In-situ Monitoring Results for Routine Water Quality Monitoring of CMP 1 in October and November 2014*

Sampling Period	Stations	Temp (°C)	Salinity (ppt)	Turbidity (NTU)	Dissolved Oxygen (%)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	pH (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )
October 2014	RFF (Reference)	27.84	29.15	10.22	85.67	5.72	7.85
	IPF (Impact)	27.99	29.43	13.23	82.70	5.50	7.83
	INF (Intermediate)	28.35	30.70	9.47	79.84	5.24	7.84
	Ma Wan	28.30	30.68	9.07	78.27	5.14	7.84
	Shum Shui Kok	27.92	29.55	7.36	81.67	5.43	7.84
	Tai Mo To	28.05	29.54	9.24	81.52	5.41	7.82
	Tai Ho Bay 1	27.87	29.15	11.92	85.08	5.68	7.83
	Tai Ho Bay 2	28.00	28.22	9.46	83.51	5.59	7.78
	WQO	N/A	26.23-32.06 <sup>#</sup>	N/A	N/A	>4	6.5-8.5
November 2014	RFF (Reference)	25.96	30.63	8.58	85.80	5.86	7.92
	IPF (Impact)	26.06	30.59	12.06	83.59	5.70	7.93
	INF (Intermediate)	26.17	30.96	15.00	85.07	5.78	7.96
	Ma Wan	26.21	32.11	3.53	86.30	5.82	7.98
	Shum Shui Kok	26.13	31.45	9.97	85.21	5.78	7.93
	Tai Mo To	25.96	30.54	16.79	85.58	5.85	7.95
	Tai Ho Bay 1	25.91	30.16	23.27	83.63	5.73	7.90
	Tai Ho Bay 2	25.49	28.79	8.02	89.17	6.20	7.84
	WQO	N/A	27.57-33.70 <sup>#</sup>	N/A	N/A	>4	6.5-8.5

**Notes:**

<sup>#</sup>Not exceeding 10% of natural ambient level which is the result obtained from the Reference Station.

Cell shaded yellow / red indicate value exceeding the Action/Limit levels.

**Table C4 Laboratory Results for Routine Water Quality Monitoring of CMP 1 in October and November 2014**

Sampling Period	Stations	As (µg/L)	Cd (µg/L)	Cr (µg/L)	Cu (µg/L)	Pb (µg/L)	Hg (µg/L)	Ni (µg/L)	Ag (µg/L)	Zn (µg/L)	NH <sub>3</sub> (mg/L)	TIN (mg/L)	BOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/L)	SS (mg/L)
October 2014	RFF	2.40	<LOR	2.30	9.40	2.90	<LOR	2.50	<LOR	15.00	0.03	0.38	2.30	18.38
	IPF	2.04	<LOR	1.23	6.62	1.02	<LOR	1.66	<LOR	17.28	0.03	0.31	2.20	6.90
	INF	1.83	<LOR	<LOR	16.48	1.01	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	17.17	0.04	0.28	1.22	6.96
	Ma Wan	1.84	<LOR	<LOR	3.55	1.10	<LOR	1.34	<LOR	29.25	0.06	0.31	2.28	12.44
	Shum Shui Kok	1.95	<LOR	<LOR	13.50	1.66	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	22.88	0.04	0.30	2.28	8.22
	Tai Mo To	1.88	<LOR	<LOR	9.78	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	15.79	0.04	0.35	1.79	8.99
	Tai Ho Bay 1	2.09	<LOR	1.01	3.34	1.11	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	15.38	0.03	0.30	1.48	11.81
	Tai Ho Bay 2	1.78	<LOR	<LOR	1.31	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	11.80	0.08	0.35	2.04	9.68
November 2014	RFF	2.05	0.48	<LOR	4.57	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	8.40	0.01	0.30	1.70	16.00
	IPF	2.00	<LOR	1.31	4.38	1.05	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	20.32	0.02	0.34	2.12	13.26
	INF	2.23	<LOR	<LOR	5.16	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	14.87	0.02	0.32	1.93	10.60
	Ma Wan	1.86	0.13	1.34	2.20	1.10	<LOR	3.69	<LOR	7.75	0.02	0.18	1.91	7.61
	Shum Shui Kok	1.83	0.19	<LOR	4.99	1.33	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	6.40	0.02	0.24	1.70	12.81
	Tai Mo To	2.14	0.66	<LOR	3.46	1.10	<LOR	<LOR	<LOR	11.34	0.03	0.38	1.35	17.23
	Tai Ho Bay 1	2.09	<LOR	2.23	6.93	1.75	<LOR	1.10	<LOR	12.48	0.01	0.38	1.20	20.25
	Tai Ho Bay 2	1.89	0.45	1.39	4.35	1.73	<LOR	1.94	<LOR	12.53	0.01	0.39	1.94	9.18

WQO of TIN: 0.5 mg/L  
Wet Season WQO of SS: 11.6 mg/L  
Dry Season WQO of SS: 13.8 mg/L

**Note:** Cell shaded yellow / red indicate value exceeding the Action/Limit levels.

**Table C5 Water Column Profiling Results for CMP 1 on 13 November 2014**

Stations	Temp (°C)	Salinity (ppt)	Turbidity (NTU)	Dissolved Oxygen (%)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	pH (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Suspended Solids (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )
WCP 1 (Downstream)	24.38	30.80	3.83	105.20	7.37	7.95	4.08
WCP 2 (Upstream)	24.32	30.55	3.98	102.78	7.22	7.95	4.28
WQO (dry season)	N/A	27.61-33.61 <sup>#</sup>	N/A	N/A	>4	6.5-8.5	13.8

**Note:** <sup>#</sup>Not exceeding 10% of natural ambient level which is the result obtained from the Reference Station.

Annex D

Dredging Record for CMP 2  
in October and November  
2014

Table D1 Dredging Record at SB CMP 2

Date	Daily Dredging Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Weekly Dredging Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) (From Sunday to Saturday)
28-Sep-2014	6,500	54,600
29-Sep-2014	7,150	
30-Sep-2014	6,500	
01-Oct-2014	7,150	
02-Oct-2014	9,100	
03-Oct-2014	9,750	
04-Oct-2014	8,450	
05-Oct-2014	9,750	79,300
06-Oct-2014	11,700	
07-Oct-2014	11,700	
08-Oct-2014	11,050	
09-Oct-2014	11,050	
10-Oct-2014	13,000	
11-Oct-2014	11,050	
12-Oct-2014	11,050	72,800
13-Oct-2014	10,400	
14-Oct-2014	10,400	
15-Oct-2014	10,400	
16-Oct-2014	10,400	
17-Oct-2014	10,400	
18-Oct-2014	9,750	
19-Oct-2014	9,750	78,000
20-Oct-2014	10,400	
21-Oct-2014	13,000	
22-Oct-2014	12,350	
23-Oct-2014	10,400	
24-Oct-2014	12,350	
25-Oct-2014	9,750	
26-Oct-2014	9,100	66,300
27-Oct-2014	9,750	
28-Oct-2014	8,450	
29-Oct-2014	9,750	
30-Oct-2014	9,100	
31-Oct-2014	10,400	
01-Nov-2014	9,750	

Table D1 Dredging Record at SB CMP 2

Date	Daily Dredging Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Weekly Dredging Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) (From Sunday to Saturday)
02-Nov-2014	7,150	44,850
03-Nov-2014	8,450	
04-Nov-2014	9,750	
05-Nov-2014	3,900	
06-Nov-2014	3,250	
07-Nov-2014	7,150	
08-Nov-2014	5,200	
09-Nov-2014	4,550	43,550
10-Nov-2014	5,850	
11-Nov-2014	6,500	
12-Nov-2014	6,500	
13-Nov-2014	5,200	
14-Nov-2014	7,800	
15-Nov-2014	7,150	
16-Nov-2014	5,200	39,000
17-Nov-2014	6,500	
18-Nov-2014	5,850	
19-Nov-2014	5,850	
20-Nov-2014	5,850	
21-Nov-2014	5,850	
22-Nov-2014	3,900	
23-Nov-2014	5,200	11,700
24-Nov-2014	5,850	
25-Nov-2014	650	

Annex E

## Study Programme

