



**Environmental Monitoring and Audit  
 for Contaminated Mud Pits to the  
 South of The Brothers and at East  
 Sha Chau (2012-2017) – Investigation  
 Agreement No. CE 23/2012(EP)**

**22<sup>nd</sup> Monthly Progress Report for  
 Contaminated Mud Pits to the South of The  
 Brothers and at East Sha Chau – June 2014**

Revision 0

14 July 2014

**Environmental Resources Management**  
 16/F Berkshire House  
 25 Westlands Road  
 Quarry Bay, Hong Kong  
 Telephone (852) 2271 3000  
 Facsimile (852) 2723 5660  
[www.erm.com](http://www.erm.com)



# Environmental Monitoring and Audit for Contaminated Mud Pits to the South of The Brothers and at East Sha Chau (2012-2017) – Investigation

## 22<sup>nd</sup> Monthly Progress Report for Contaminated Mud Pits to the South of The Brothers and at East Sha Chau – June 2014

### Revision 0

**Document Code: 0175086 Monthly Jun\_v0.doc**

### Environmental Resources Management

16/F  
 Berkshire House  
 25 Westlands Road  
 Quarry Bay  
 Hong Kong  
 Telephone: (852) 2271 3000  
 Facsimile: (852) 2723 5660  
 E-mail: post.hk@erm.com  
 http://www.erm.com

Client:		Project No:			
Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)		0175086			
Summary:		Date:			
This document presents the 22 <sup>nd</sup> monthly progress report for Contaminated Mud Pits at the South of The Brothers and at East Sha Chau.		14 July 2014			
		Approved by:			
					
		Craig A. Reid <i>Partner</i>			
v0	22 <sup>nd</sup> Monthly Progress Report for ESC CMPs and SB CMPs	RC	JT	CAR	14/7/14
Revision	Description	By	Checked	Approved	Date
This report has been prepared by Environmental Resources Management the trading name of 'ERM Hong-Kong, Limited', with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the Contract with the client, incorporating our General Terms and Conditions of Business and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client.  We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above.  This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies on the report at their own risk.		Distribution <input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public <input type="checkbox"/> Confidential			
		 			

**Dredging, Management and Capping of Contaminated Sediment Disposal  
Facility to the South of The Brothers**

**Environmental Certification Sheet  
EP-427/2011/A**

**Reference Document/Plan**

Document/ <del>Plan to be Certified</del> / Verified:	22 <sup>nd</sup> Monthly Progress Report for Contaminated Mud Pits to the South of The Brothers and at East Sha Chau – June 2014
Date of Report:	14 July 2014
Date prepared by ET:	14 July 2014
Date received by IA:	14 July 2014


**Reference EP Condition**

Environmental Permit Condition:	Condition No.: 4.4
4 hard copies and 1 electronic copy of monthly EM&A Report shall be submitted to the Director within 2 weeks after the end of the reporting month. The EM&A Reports shall include a summary of all non-compliance (exceedances) of the environmental quality performance limits (Action and Limit Levels). The submissions shall be certified by the ET Leader and verified by the Independent Auditor. Additional copies of the submission shall be provided to the Director upon request by the Director.	

**ET Certification**

I hereby certify that the above referenced document/ <del>plan</del> complies with the above referenced condition of EP-427/2011/A	
Craig A. Reid, Environmental Team Leader:	 Date: 14/7/2014

**IA Verification**

I hereby verify that the above referenced document/ <del>plan</del> complies with the above referenced condition of EP-427/2011/A	
Dr Wang Wen Xiong, Independent Auditor:	 Date: 14/7/2014

## CONTENTS

1.1	BACKGROUND	1
1.2	REPORTING PERIOD	2
1.3	DETAILS OF SAMPLING AND LABORATORY TESTING ACTIVITIES	3
1.4	DETAILS OF OUTSTANDING SAMPLING AND/OR ANALYSIS	4
1.5	BRIEF DISCUSSION OF THE MONITORING RESULTS FOR SB CMPS	4
1.6	ACTIVITIES SCHEDULED FOR THE NEXT MONTH	8
1.7	STUDY PROGRAMME	8

## ANNEXES

<i>Annex A</i>	<i>Sampling Schedule</i>
<i>Annex B</i>	<i>Graphical Presentations</i>
<i>Annex C</i>	<i>Water Quality Monitoring Results</i>
<i>Annex D</i>	<i>Dredging Record for CMP 2 in June 2014</i>
<i>Annex E</i>	<i>Study Programme</i>

Agreement No. CE 23/2012 (EP)  
Environmental Monitoring and Audit  
for Contaminated Mud Pits to the South of The Brothers and at East Sha  
Chau (2012-2017) - Investigation

22<sup>ND</sup> MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT FOR JUNE 2014

**1.1 BACKGROUND**

1.1.1 Since early 1990s, contaminated sediment <sup>(1)</sup> arising from various construction works (e.g. dredging and reclamation projects) in Hong Kong has been disposed of at a series of seabed pits at East of Sha Chau (ESC). In late 2008, a review indicated that the existing and planned facilities at ESC would not be able to meet the disposal demand after 2012. In order to meet this demand, the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government (HKSARG) decided to implement a new contained aquatic disposal (CAD) <sup>(2)</sup> facility at the South of The Brothers (SB CMPs) which had been under consideration for a number of years.

1.1.2 The environmental acceptability of the construction and operation of the Project had been confirmed by findings of the associated Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) study completed in 2005 under *Agreement No. CE 12/2002(EP)* <sup>(3)</sup>. The Director of Environmental Protection (DEP) approved this EIA report under the *Environmental Impact Assessment Ordinance (Cap. 499) (EIAO)* in September 2005 (*EIA Register No.: AEIAR-089/2005*).

1.1.3 In accordance with the EIA recommendation, prior to commencement of construction works for the SB CMPs, the Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) undertook a detailed review and update of the EIA findings for the SB site <sup>(4)</sup>. Findings of the EIA review undertaken in 2009/2010 confirmed that the construction and operation of the SB site had been predicted to be environmentally acceptable.

- (1) According to the Management Framework of Dredged/ Excavated Sediment of ETWB TC(W) No. 34/2002, contaminated sediment in general shall mean those sediment requiring Type 2 – Confined Marine Disposal as determined according to this TC(W).
- (2) CAD options may involve use of excavated borrow pits, or may involve purpose-built excavated pits. CAD sites are those which involve filling a seabed pit with contaminated mud and capping it with uncontaminated material such that the original seabed level is restored and the contaminated material is isolated from the surrounding marine environment.<sup>7</sup>
- (3) Detailed Site Selection Study for a Proposed Contaminated Mud Disposal Facility within the Airport East/ East of Sha Chau Area (*Agreement No. CE 12/2002(EP)*)
- (4) Under the CEDD study *Contaminated Sediment Disposal Facility to the South of The Brothers (Agreement No. FM 2/2009)*



### 1.3 *DETAILS OF SAMPLING AND LABORATORY TESTING ACTIVITIES*

1.3.1 *Water Quality Monitoring during Capping* was undertaken for ESC CMPs on 6 June 2014.

1.3.2 The following monitoring activities have been undertaken for SB CMPs in June 2014:

- *Impact Water Quality Monitoring during Dredging Operations* was undertaken for CMP 2 three times per week on 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 16, 18, 20, 23, 25, 27 and 30 June 2014;
- *Water Column Profiling* for CMP 1 was undertaken on 10 June 2014;
- *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry* for CMP 1 was undertaken on 12 June 2014; and
- *Cumulative Impact Sediment Chemistry* for CMP 1 was undertaken on 17 and 19 June 2014.

#### 1.4 **DETAILS OF OUTSTANDING SAMPLING AND/OR ANALYSIS**

1.4.1 No outstanding sampling remained for June 2014. The following laboratory analyses were still in progress during the preparation of this monthly report and hence were not presented in this monthly report:

- Laboratory analyses of sediment samples collected for *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry of CMP 1* in May and June 2014; and
- Laboratory analyses of sediment samples collected for *Cumulative Impact Sediment Chemistry of CMP 1* in June 2014.

1.4.2 A summary of field activities conducted are presented in *Annex A*.

#### 1.5 **BRIEF DISCUSSION OF THE MONITORING RESULTS FOR ESC CMPs**

1.5.1 Brief discussion of the monitoring results of the *Water Quality Monitoring during Capping of ESC CMPs* conducted on 6 June 2014 is presented below.

##### 1.5.2 ***Water Quality Monitoring during Capping – June 2014***

1.5.3 The monitoring results obtained during June 2014 sampling in the wet season have been assessed for compliance with the Water Quality Objectives (WQOs) through a review of the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) routine water quality monitoring data for the wet season period (April to October) of 2003 – 2012 from stations in the North Western Water Control Zone (WCZ), where ESC CMPs are located. For Salinity, the average value obtained from the Reference stations was used for the basis as the WQO. A total of 10 monitoring stations were sampled in June 2014 as shown in *Figure 1.2*. Graphical presentation of the monitoring results is provided in *Annex B*.

##### *In-situ Measurements*

1.5.4 Graphical presentation of the monitoring results is shown in *Figures 1-6 of Annex B*. Levels of Dissolved Oxygen (DO), pH and Salinity at most stations in June 2014 complied with the WQO except for the Salinity at Ma Wan station. The higher Salinity recorded at Ma Wan station is likely to be caused by its greater separation distance from the Pearl River mouth, which is a key source of freshwater inputs in the area, when compared to the Reference stations.

##### *Laboratory Measurements for Suspended Solids (SS)*

1.5.5 Concentrations of SS complied with the WQO at all stations in June 2014 (*Figure 7 of Annex B*).

Overall, the results indicated that the capping operations at ESC CMPs did not appear to cause any unacceptable deterioration in water quality.




Figure 1.2

Routine & Capping Water Quality Sampling Stations (Flood-Tide) for CMPV

File: T:\GIS\CONTRACT\0103262\mxd\CMPV\0103262\_R\_C\_WQMS\_flood.mxd  
Date: 27/3/2014

Environmental  
Resources  
Management



## 1.6 **BRIEF DISCUSSION OF THE MONITORING RESULTS FOR SB CMPs**

1.6.1 Brief discussion of the monitoring results of the following activities for SB CMPs is presented in this 22<sup>nd</sup> *Monthly Progress Report*:

- *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry of CMP 1* conducted in April 2014;
- *Impact Water Quality Monitoring during Dredging Operations of CMP 2* conducted in June 2014; and
- *Water Column Profiling of CMP 1* conducted on 10 June 2014.

### 1.6.2 ***Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry of CMP 1 – April 2014***

1.6.3 Monitoring locations for *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry for CMP 1* are shown in *Figure 1.3*. A total of six (6) monitoring stations were sampled in April 2014.

1.6.4 The concentrations of most inorganic contaminants were lower than the Lower Chemical Exceedance Level (LCEL) at all stations except for Arsenic in April 2014 (*Figures 8-9 of Annex B*). Concentrations of Arsenic exceeded the LCEL at most stations except for Active Pit stations SB-NPAA and SP-NPAB.

1.6.5 Whilst the average concentration of Arsenic in the Earth's crust is generally ~2mg/kg, significantly higher Arsenic concentrations (median = 14 mg/kg) have been recorded in Hong Kong's onshore sediments <sup>(1)</sup>. It is presumed that the natural concentrations of Arsenic are similar in onshore and offshore sediments <sup>(2)</sup>, and relatively high Arsenic levels may thus occur throughout Hong Kong. Therefore, the LCEL exceedances of Arsenic are unlikely to be caused by the disposal operations at CMP 1 but rather as a result of naturally occurring deposits.

1.6.6 For organic contaminants, the concentration of Total Organic Carbon (TOC) was similar amongst stations with no consistent spatial trend in April 2014 (*Figure 10 of Annex B*). Concentrations of Tributyltin (TBTs) were observed to be higher at Active Pit station SB-NPAB in April 2014 (*Figure 11 of Annex B*). High Molecular Weight Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (High MW PAHs) concentrations were recorded below the limit of reporting at all stations except at Active Pit station SB-NPAB (*Figure 12 of Annex B*). Low MW PAHs, Total Dichloro-Diphenyl-Trichloroethane (DDT), 4,4'-Dichloro-Diphenyl-Dichloroethylene (4,4'-DDE) and Total Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) were recorded below the limit of reporting at all stations in April 2014.

(1) Sewell RJ (1999) *Geochemical Atlas of Hong Kong*. Geotechnical Engineering Office, Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region

(2) Whiteside PGD (2000) Natural geochemistry and contamination of marine sediments in Hong Kong. In: *The Urban Geology of Hong Kong* (ed Page A & Reels SJ). Geological Society of Hong Kong Bulletin No. 6, p109-121




Figure 1.3

Pit Specific Sediment Quality Monitoring Stations for South Brothers Facility

- 1.6.7 Active Pit station SB-NPAB is located within CMP 1 which was receiving contaminated mud during the reporting period. Therefore, the higher concentrations of contaminants recorded at this Active Pit station only are not considered as indicating any dispersal of contaminated mud from CMP 1. Nevertheless, detailed analyses will be presented in the *Quarterly Report* to reveal any trend of increasing sediment contaminant concentrations towards CMP 1.
- 1.6.8 Overall, there is no evidence indicating any unacceptable environmental impacts to sediment quality as a result of the contaminated mud disposal operations at CMP 1 in April 2014.
- 1.6.9 ***Impact Water Quality Monitoring during Dredging Operations of CMP 2 – June 2014***
- 1.6.10 *Impact Water Quality Monitoring during Dredging Operations of CMP 2* was conducted three times per week from 3 to 30 June 2014 during the reporting period. On each survey day, sampling was conducted during both mid-ebb and mid-flood tides at two Reference (Upstream) stations and five Impact (Downstream) stations of the dredging operations at CMP 2. Monitoring was also conducted at five Sensitive Receiver Stations situated in Ma Wan, Shum Shui Kok, Tai Mo To and Tai Ho Bay. A total of twelve stations were monitored and locations of the sampling stations are shown in *Figure 1.4*. Sampling at station THB2 during mid-ebb tides of 11 and 23 June 2014, during mid-flood tide of 16 June 2014 as well as during both mid-flood and mid-ebb tides of 7 and 25 June 2014 were cancelled due to adverse weather condition.
- 1.6.11 Monitoring results are presented in *Table C1* of *Annex C*. Daily dredging volume in June 2014 is reported in *Annex D*. Levels of DO, Turbidity and SS generally complied with the Action and Limit Levels (see *Table C2* of *Annex C* for details) set in the *Baseline Monitoring Report* <sup>(1)</sup>, except for the following occasion of exceedances shown in *Table 1.1* below.

**Table 1.1** ***Details of Exceedances Recorded at CMP 2 between 3 and 30 June 2014***

Date	Tide	Parameter	Station	Type
7 June 2014	Mid-Ebb	Bottom DO	DS2	Action
7 June 2014	Mid-Ebb	Bottom DO	WSR45C	Action
13 June 2014	Mid-Flood	SS	DS1	Action

(1) ERM (2012) Baseline Monitoring Report. Environmental Monitoring and Audit for Contaminated Mud Pits to the South of the Brothers and at East Sha Chau (2012-2017) – Investigation. Agreement No. CE 23/2012(EP). Submitted to EPD in October 2012.




Figure 1.4

Indicative Dredging Impact Sampling Stations for South Brothers Facility

Note: The locations of sampling stations will be determined on site based on current direction and position of dredging activities.

1.6.12 Action Level exceedances of bottom DO were recorded at stations DS2 and WSR45C during mid-ebb tide on 7 June 2014. Stations DS2 and WSR45C are located further away from the works area of CMP 2 when compared to station DS1 at which the levels of bottom DO did not exceed the Action and Limit Levels during the same tidal period. In addition, these Action Level exceedances of bottom DO were recorded during one tidal period only and the dredging rate was well within the limit as specified under the EP, it is considered that the exceedances were isolated sporadic event which may be caused by natural background variation in water quality characteristics of the monitoring area. As such, the exceedances at stations DS2 and WSR45C are not likely to be caused by the dredging works at CMP 2.

Action Level Exceedance of SS was recorded at station DS1 only which is located at the boundary of the works area during one tidal period on 13 June 2014, and given that the dredging rate was well within the limit as specified under the EP, it is considered that the exceedance was not indicating any unacceptable impacts from the dredging operations to the nearby Water Sensitive Receivers (WSRs).

1.6.13 It should be noted that high levels of SS were occasionally recorded during baseline monitoring which are considered to be sporadic events and characteristic of water quality in this area of Hong Kong (baseline monitoring data are summarised in *Table C3 of Annex C*). Therefore, the Action and Limit Level exceedances may be caused by natural background variation in water quality of the area.

1.6.14 Overall, the results indicated that the dredging operations at CMP 2 did not appear to cause any unacceptable deterioration in water quality during this reporting period. Therefore, no further mitigation measures, except for those recommended in the Environmental Permit (*EP-427/2011/A*), are considered necessary for the dredging operations.

#### 1.6.15 ***Water Column Profiling of CMP 1 – June 2014***

1.6.16 *Water Column Profiling* was undertaken at a total of two sampling stations (Upstream and Downstream stations) on 10 June 2014. The water quality monitoring results have been assessed for compliance with the WQOs as discussed in *Section 1.5.3*. The monitoring results were also compared with the Action and Limit Levels set in *Baseline Monitoring Report* (see *Table C2 of Annex C* for details).

#### *In-situ Measurements*

1.6.17 Analyses of results for June 2014 indicated that levels of Salinity, pH and DO complied with the WQOs at both Downstream and Upstream stations (*Table C4 of Annex C*). DO and Turbidity also complied with the Action and Limit Levels.

### *Laboratory Measurements for SS*

- 1.6.18 Analyses of results for June 2014 indicated that the SS levels at Downstream and Upstream stations complied with the WQO and the Action and Limit Levels (*Table C4 of Annex C*).
- 1.6.19 Overall, the monitoring results indicated that the mud disposal operation at CMP 1 did not appear to cause any deterioration in water quality during this reporting period.

### **1.7 ACTIVITIES SCHEDULED FOR THE NEXT MONTH**

- 1.7.1 The following monitoring activities will be conducted in the next monthly period of July 2014 for SB CMPs:
- *Impact Water Quality Monitoring during Dredging Operations of CMP 2;*
  - *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry of CMP 1;*
  - *Routine Water Quality Monitoring of CMP 1;*
  - *Water Column Profiling of CMP 1; and*
  - *Demersal Trawling of CMP 1.*
- 1.7.2 No monitoring activities are scheduled to be undertaken in the next monthly period of July 2014 for ESC CMPs.
- 1.7.3 The sampling schedule is presented in *Annex A*.
- ### **1.8 STUDY PROGRAMME**
- 1.8.1 A summary of the Study programme is presented in *Annex E*.

Annex A

## Sampling Schedule





Annex A1 - Environmental Monitoring and Audit Sampling Schedule for East of Sha Chau (September 2012 - February 2017)

		2012				2013					2014					2015					2016					2017																	
		S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F
<b>Routine Water Quality Monitoring</b>																																											
<i>Ebb Tide</i>																																											
Impact Station	ESC-IPE1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-IPE2	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-IPE3	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-IPE4	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-IPE5	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
Intermediate Station	ESC-INE1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-INE2	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-INE3	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-INE4	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-INE5	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
Reference Station	ESC-RFE1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-RFE2	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-RFE3	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-RFE4	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-RFE5	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
Ma Wan Station	MW1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
<i>Flood Tide</i>																																											
Impact Station	ESC-IPF1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-IPF2	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-IPF3	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
Intermediate Station	ESC-INF1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-INF2	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-INF3	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
Reference Station	ESC-RFF1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-RFF2	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
	ESC-RFF3	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*
Ma Wan Station	MW1	*	*			*	*			*	*																			*	*			*	*			*	*			*	*









Annex B

## Graphical Presentations




Figure 1: Levels of pH recorded from Water Quality Monitoring during Capping of ESC CMPs in June 2014.




Figure 2: Levels of Turbidity recorded from Water Quality Monitoring during Capping of ESC CMPs in June 2014.




Figure 3: Level of Dissolved Oxygen (% saturation) recorded from Water Quality Monitoring during Capping of ESC CMPs in June 2014.




Figure 4: Concentration of Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) recorded from Water Quality Monitoring during Capping of ESC CMPs in June 2014.




Figure 5: Levels of Temperature recorded from Water Quality Monitoring during Capping of ESC CMPs in June 2014.




Figure 6: Levels of Salinity recorded from Water Quality Monitoring during Capping of ESC CMPs in June 2014.




Figure 7: Concentrations of Suspended Solids recorded from Water Quality Monitoring during Capping of ESC CMPs in June 2014.

Source: H:\Team\EM\GMS Projects\0175086 CEDD EM&A for South Brothers\02 Deliverable\07 CMP Monthly Report\22nd (June 2014)

Date: 14/7/2014

**Environmental  
Resources  
Management**






Figure 8: Concentration of Metals (Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn, As; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* for CMP 1 in April 2014.




Figure 9: Concentration of Metals (Cd, Hg, Ag; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* for CMP 1 in April 2014.

Source: H:\Team\EM\GMS Projects\0175086 CEDD EM&A for South Brothers\02 Deliverable\07 CMP Monthly Report\22nd (June 2014)  
 Date: 14/7/2014

**Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry for Total Organic Carbon (TOC) at CMP 1  
April 2014**




Figure 10: Concentration of Total Organic Carbon (mg/kg dry weight; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* for CMP 1 in April 2014.

**Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry for Tributyltin (TBT) at CMP 1  
April 2014**




Figure 11: Concentration of Tributyltin (µg TBT/kg; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* of CMP 1 in April 2014.

**Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry for Low and High Molecular Weight Polycyclic Aromatics Hydrocarbons (PAHs) at CMP 1 in April 2014**




Figure 12: Concentration of Low and High Molecular Weight Polycyclic Aromatics Hydrocarbons (mg/kg dry weight; mean +SD) in sediment samples collected from *Pit Specific Sediment Chemistry Monitoring* for CMP 1 in April 2014.

Source: H:\Team\EM\GMS Projects\0175086 CEDD EM&A for South Brothers\02 Deliverable\07 CMP Monthly Report\22nd (June 2014)

Date: 14/7/2014

**Environmental  
Resources  
Management**



Annex C

## Water Quality Monitoring Results

**Table C1** *Summary Table of DO, Turbidity and SS Levels Recorded between 3 and 30 June 2014*

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth		
2014/06/03	Mid-Ebb	DS1	6.42	7.56	2.08	3.88
		DS2	3.94	7.14	4.07	7.51
		DS3	6.31	8.28	2.34	4.51
		DS4	5.03	7.92	2.44	4.00
		DS5	3.82	7.19	6.21	9.07
		US1	7.25	9.50	4.43	6.02
		US2	4.61	7.74	6.23	8.17
		MW1	4.27	8.08	1.97	4.06
		THB1	5.54	7.52	7.53	5.30
		THB2	-	8.83	3.64	6.80
		WSR45C	3.96	7.10	4.53	6.13
		WSR46	4.40	7.65	3.68	6.31
	Mid-Flood	DS1	6.87	6.90	2.07	3.07
		DS2	7.42	7.30	2.50	4.58
		DS3	6.85	7.44	2.47	4.08
		DS4	6.25	7.79	1.85	3.69
		DS5	4.06	7.44	2.43	4.44
		US1	5.98	6.76	1.96	4.51
		US2	5.14	6.33	1.98	3.32
		MW1	4.06	5.54	2.69	4.58
		THB1	6.97	7.07	3.20	3.20
		THB2	-	6.40	7.36	4.03
		WSR45C	4.08	5.65	2.95	3.68
		WSR46	4.38	6.37	5.94	5.80
2014/06/05	Mid-Ebb	DS1	5.50	8.31	3.38	6.44
		DS2	3.47	6.30	3.45	6.82
		DS3	4.55	6.83	1.97	4.59
		DS4	4.44	6.70	1.57	3.98
		DS5	3.40	6.64	4.19	6.49
		US1	8.27	9.68	4.36	7.23
		US2	5.03	7.87	4.15	7.10
		MW1	3.80	6.80	1.45	3.78
		THB1	5.17	10.19	4.75	7.63
		THB2	-	9.10	4.44	5.37
		WSR45C	3.34	6.20	3.07	4.53
		WSR46	3.83	7.14	3.13	4.89
	Mid-Flood	DS1	7.64	7.57	2.96	6.25
		DS2	7.54	8.18	5.15	9.80
		DS3	7.09	8.96	1.85	4.33
		DS4	4.14	6.70	5.54	8.63
		DS5	3.67	6.64	2.89	6.47
		US1	5.97	6.93	1.70	3.63
		US2	4.34	5.97	1.74	3.11
		MW1	3.45	5.44	1.61	3.96
		THB1	6.83	7.46	5.42	6.23
		THB2	-	7.45	2.90	5.53
		WSR45C	3.57	5.27	2.96	4.71

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth		
		WSR46	3.93	6.12	3.01	6.24
2014/06/07	Mid-Ebb	DS1	5.77	7.08	2.00	5.22
		DS2	3.03	4.98	3.12	5.27
		DS3	3.87	5.36	2.24	3.63
		DS4	4.10	5.38	1.85	3.93
		DS5	3.90	5.45	1.99	2.82
		US1	5.35	5.95	5.77	7.70
		US2	3.66	4.94	7.46	10.14
		MW1	3.01	4.36	2.34	3.53
		THB1	4.19	6.87	5.27	7.42
		THB2	-	-	-	-
	WSR45C	3.01	4.82	3.17	4.86	
	WSR46	3.18	4.80	3.33	6.26	
	Mid-Flood	DS1	5.68	7.19	10.56	10.38
		DS2	4.26	5.75	3.83	7.43
		DS3	3.60	5.71	3.91	5.19
		DS4	7.36	7.97	2.12	5.00
		DS5	4.55	7.17	4.33	6.80
		US1	2.98	6.68	2.26	3.72
		US2	2.96	4.84	3.42	7.62
		MW1	4.11	4.81	1.97	3.10
THB1		3.01	5.98	7.00	11.77	
THB2		-	-	-	-	
WSR45C	3.21	5.01	3.36	5.76		
WSR46	3.27	5.69	3.72	5.80		
2014/06/09	Mid-Ebb	DS1	5.50	6.73	4.45	8.50
		DS2	3.30	3.81	4.77	7.90
		DS3	4.07	4.57	3.37	5.71
		DS4	3.68	4.47	3.16	4.92
		DS5	3.64	4.13	3.12	4.92
		US1	5.43	6.70	4.62	6.25
		US2	3.99	4.41	3.59	6.36
		MW1	3.16	4.43	1.79	5.58
		THB1	4.25	7.39	3.43	7.05
		THB2	-	7.57	4.86	6.00
	WSR45C	3.72	4.62	3.52	5.01	
	WSR46	3.64	4.37	2.91	3.98	
	Mid-Flood	DS1	6.86	7.82	4.02	10.35
		DS2	6.82	8.77	6.12	10.93
		DS3	4.16	7.18	5.11	7.21
		DS4	5.05	7.71	4.13	7.43
		DS5	4.13	7.54	5.39	5.58
		US1	6.12	8.80	2.92	4.55
		US2	3.80	5.61	3.59	6.34
		MW1	3.37	4.49	2.59	5.62
THB1		6.13	8.27	6.37	9.28	
THB2		-	9.78	5.53	6.53	
WSR45C	3.43	5.16	3.39	5.59		
WSR46	3.60	5.97	4.41	6.44		
2014/06/11	Mid-Ebb	DS1	6.08	7.21	2.62	6.19
		DS2	3.95	5.68	3.09	7.18

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth		
		DS3	5.08	5.87	2.32	5.03
		DS4	4.78	5.84	2.07	4.96
		DS5	5.39	6.13	2.90	6.34
		US1	5.17	6.91	3.13	5.63
		US2	4.14	6.16	4.82	7.00
		MW1	4.45	5.28	2.44	4.69
		THB1	7.09	7.75	2.60	5.53
		THB2	-	-	-	-
		WSR45C	5.49	5.84	3.45	4.62
		WSR46	4.36	5.24	4.18	5.36
	Mid-Flood	DS1	8.08	8.36	2.31	6.57
		DS2	7.96	8.37	4.99	7.58
		DS3	7.40	8.37	5.35	9.90
		DS4	8.77	8.49	4.33	9.20
		DS5	5.78	8.77	4.75	8.77
		US1	5.12	5.42	2.95	4.92
		US2	4.51	6.22	3.20	6.21
		MW1	4.19	4.50	3.94	8.48
		THB1	8.04	8.09	6.97	9.90
		THB2	-	11.24	7.93	7.50
		WSR45C	4.28	4.91	8.59	8.79
		WSR46	5.20	7.38	12.51	11.80
2014/06/13	Mid-Ebb	DS1	6.03	6.83	3.88	6.38
		DS2	4.55	5.97	4.01	6.93
		DS3	5.08	7.02	3.83	5.12
		DS4	5.23	6.91	4.29	7.44
		DS5	4.60	5.54	5.97	9.79
		US1	7.13	8.01	4.32	6.67
		US2	4.79	5.91	9.47	12.44
		MW1	4.99	5.59	3.44	5.80
		THB1	7.21	7.67	5.00	8.03
		THB2	-	7.09	3.70	5.33
		WSR45C	5.02	6.37	4.64	7.66
		WSR46	4.25	4.74	4.82	8.69
	Mid-Flood	DS1	4.93	5.06	10.40	30.68
		DS2	6.49	5.53	3.46	6.24
		DS3	6.47	6.22	4.02	5.98
		DS4	6.18	6.39	4.51	6.09
		DS5	6.44	6.60	4.56	5.61
		US1	4.49	5.04	2.76	4.37
		US2	4.31	4.95	2.72	4.62
		MW1	4.02	4.45	4.32	6.57
		THB1	5.06	5.13	4.07	4.85
		THB2	-	6.34	4.34	4.90
		WSR45C	4.34	4.55	7.85	9.83
		WSR46	4.43	4.84	7.13	8.39
2014/06/16	Mid-Ebb	DS1	5.57	5.72	5.59	6.07
		DS2	4.82	5.41	4.65	5.54
		DS3	4.96	5.47	5.09	5.96
		DS4	4.69	5.04	9.46	9.89
		DS5	4.65	5.33	8.57	5.70

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth		
		US1	4.89	5.30	11.97	14.46
		US2	4.66	5.18	8.27	9.90
		MW1	5.25	5.50	4.42	10.98
		THB1	5.84	5.88	3.20	14.75
		THB2	-	5.56	4.50	10.90
		WSR45C	4.59	5.29	7.76	12.87
		WSR46	4.54	4.85	8.49	15.83
	Mid-Flood	DS1	5.00	5.03	5.08	10.38
		DS2	5.20	5.11	5.71	7.18
		DS3	5.11	5.18	6.34	5.68
		DS4	5.22	5.27	5.95	6.18
		DS5	4.99	5.16	9.04	6.68
		US1	4.68	4.82	6.12	12.97
		US2	4.59	4.87	9.86	15.87
		MW1	4.47	4.82	3.11	3.63
		THB1	5.02	4.98	6.38	5.53
		THB2	-	-	-	-
		WSR45C	4.60	4.78	8.48	7.33
		WSR46	4.54	4.76	12.21	9.88
2014/06/18	Mid-Ebb	DS1	5.03	5.98	4.06	3.98
		DS2	4.62	5.60	7.21	9.78
		DS3	4.78	5.70	5.79	7.56
		DS4	4.80	5.63	6.00	6.72
		DS5	4.92	5.70	5.08	8.03
		US1	5.13	5.99	6.78	7.12
		US2	4.83	5.63	7.24	6.81
		MW1	6.10	6.34	3.63	4.10
		THB1	6.20	6.50	4.57	5.47
		THB2	-	6.66	5.46	4.10
		WSR45C	5.11	6.08	10.93	8.86
		WSR46	4.96	6.07	5.47	5.44
	Mid-Flood	DS1	5.42	5.73	4.05	6.88
		DS2	5.71	5.77	3.79	5.69
		DS3	5.30	5.67	5.76	7.81
		DS4	5.04	5.85	4.20	5.71
		DS5	5.14	5.85	4.85	6.02
		US1	4.91	5.18	5.21	7.18
		US2	4.73	5.27	3.98	5.11
		MW1	4.71	5.30	8.07	6.46
		THB1	5.31	5.67	11.35	10.97
		THB2	-	5.50	3.90	2.97
		WSR45C	4.60	5.31	7.34	8.50
		WSR46	4.74	5.29	8.24	8.36
2014/06/20	Mid-Ebb	DS1	6.14	6.98	5.12	5.88
		DS2	4.46	5.76	5.71	8.41
		DS3	4.97	6.28	3.01	5.47
		DS4	4.71	5.83	6.02	7.31
		DS5	4.74	5.97	4.87	6.96
		US1	5.75	8.33	8.83	9.72
		US2	5.01	6.86	14.97	12.72
		MW1	5.20	6.09	2.64	4.24

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)	
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth			
	Mid-Flood	THB1	6.51	8.16	6.52	8.65	
		THB2	-	5.44	8.66	6.53	
		WSR45C	4.17	6.36	8.89	9.88	
		WSR46	4.70	6.06	5.55	7.08	
		DS1	5.61	5.82	6.10	8.97	
		DS2	5.80	6.10	10.75	11.12	
		DS3	5.16	6.03	11.64	12.62	
		DS4	4.90	6.01	9.78	9.44	
		DS5	4.80	6.71	10.48	9.19	
		US1	5.28	5.78	3.68	5.01	
	US2	4.79	5.35	5.92	5.94		
	MW1	4.40	5.36	3.53	5.50		
	THB1	5.68	5.85	12.45	14.98		
	THB2	-	5.51	7.83	8.23		
	WSR45C	4.56	5.20	6.25	8.43		
	WSR46	4.69	5.47	6.28	7.10		
	2014/06/23	Mid-Ebb	DS1	4.84	5.49	4.94	5.80
			DS2	3.53	5.09	6.63	7.24
			DS3	4.52	5.05	4.46	6.50
			DS4	4.41	5.26	4.20	5.89
DS5			4.34	5.29	4.30	4.73	
US1			4.55	5.62	9.03	9.20	
US2			4.34	4.71	11.38	11.79	
MW1			3.77	4.94	4.57	5.43	
THB1			4.70	6.27	7.72	7.83	
THB2			-	-	-	-	
WSR45C		3.62	5.40	8.21	8.19		
WSR46		4.20	5.14	9.48	8.81		
Mid-Flood		DS1	5.93	5.97	5.80	7.68	
		DS2	3.97	5.32	13.44	17.17	
		DS3	3.94	5.36	10.37	10.87	
		DS4	6.37	6.54	7.72	8.80	
		DS5	6.34	6.74	7.29	8.22	
		US1	4.68	6.00	6.32	7.33	
		US2	3.93	4.94	7.93	9.59	
		MW1	3.82	4.23	8.21	7.96	
	THB1	4.50	5.74	17.32	13.02		
	THB2	-	4.44	9.66	10.53		
WSR45C	3.99	4.79	17.46	11.92			
WSR46	4.26	5.51	11.23	16.67			
2014/06/25	Mid-Ebb	DS1	5.46	5.77	5.17	5.93	
		DS2	3.66	4.92	7.83	9.46	
		DS3	4.32	5.23	6.51	8.02	
		DS4	4.48	5.05	5.89	6.21	
		DS5	4.03	4.90	10.62	8.59	
		US1	4.57	5.06	11.35	12.33	
		US2	4.39	4.79	13.35	18.90	
		MW1	3.73	5.18	4.97	8.08	
		THB1	4.19	5.62	8.72	9.28	
		THB2	-	-	-	-	
		WSR45C	3.69	4.97	8.67	8.57	

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth		
	Mid-Flood	WSR46	3.98	5.09	7.95	8.31
		DS1	5.53	5.55	7.12	6.67
		DS2	5.59	5.69	8.70	9.40
		DS3	4.49	5.34	13.52	15.63
		DS4	5.60	5.86	11.40	13.22
		DS5	4.83	5.86	11.18	11.37
		US1	4.40	5.24	6.00	10.85
		US2	3.59	4.89	8.21	9.36
		MW1	5.72	4.01	8.53	9.58
		THB1	3.69	5.72	9.48	11.12
		THB2	-	-	-	-
		WSR45C	3.66	4.75	12.74	16.73
		WSR46	4.69	5.63	8.05	7.86
		2014/06/27	Mid-Ebb	DS1	5.60	5.92
DS2	3.41			4.99	11.02	13.93
DS3	4.31			5.44	8.89	8.22
DS4	4.08			4.90	8.54	8.16
DS5	3.97			4.83	10.02	7.69
US1	4.87			5.26	10.92	11.87
US2	4.25			4.58	10.04	12.54
MW1	4.12			4.93	7.58	7.93
THB1	4.58			5.13	13.05	9.92
THB2	-			4.37	12.86	4.13
WSR45C	3.34			4.71	13.28	10.47
WSR46	3.95			4.85	6.05	6.12
Mid-Flood	DS1		4.95	5.18	5.57	5.67
	DS2		4.55	4.74	7.98	9.66
	DS3		4.63	4.77	6.58	5.91
	DS4		4.35	4.97	8.15	6.41
	DS5		5.34	5.26	9.85	8.23
	US1		4.52	4.59	4.16	4.32
	US2		3.63	4.42	13.36	10.09
	MW1		3.23	4.38	4.29	4.22
	THB1		4.60	4.64	8.67	10.65
	THB2		-	4.73	7.49	4.10
WSR45C	3.65	4.24	12.32	9.06		
WSR46	3.53	4.43	12.31	8.77		
2014/06/30	Mid-Ebb	DS1	5.05	5.95	8.89	9.51
		DS2	4.18	5.74	8.18	7.12
		DS3	4.30	5.29	4.97	5.11
		DS4	3.81	4.81	7.71	8.58
		DS5	3.62	4.49	11.52	12.07
		US1	5.34	6.32	7.93	9.18
		US2	3.99	5.42	7.78	7.77
		MW1	4.67	4.97	5.84	6.80
		THB1	3.85	5.81	8.88	13.12
		THB2	-	4.99	5.13	5.63
		WSR45C	3.96	5.31	8.73	10.49
		WSR46	3.81	4.91	6.85	8.14
	Mid-Flood	DS1	4.80	5.27	4.25	6.55
		DS2	4.04	4.75	5.83	5.92

Sampling Date	Tidal Period	Station	Average DO Levels (mg/L)		Average Turbidity Level (NTU)	Average SS Level (mg/L)
			Bottom	Surface and Mid Depth		
		DS3	3.91	4.57	6.27	6.12
		DS4	4.60	5.50	5.84	7.43
		DS5	5.99	6.00	4.28	7.03
		US1	4.02	4.25	4.05	4.93
		US2	3.73	4.22	5.07	6.80
		MW1	3.38	4.28	4.03	8.33
		THB1	4.22	4.90	5.13	6.20
		THB2	-	4.36	6.63	4.03
		WSR45C	3.35	4.03	17.06	11.29
		WSR46	3.37	4.07	18.95	13.13

Notes:

1. Please refer to Table C2 below for the Action and Limit Levels for dredging activities.
2. Cell shaded yellow indicated value exceeding the Action Level criteria.
3. Cell shaded red indicated value exceeding the Limit Level criteria.
4. Only mid-depth water was sampled at Station THB2 because water depth was less than 3m.
5. Sampling at Station THB2 on 7 and 25 June 2014 was cancelled due to adverse weather condition.
6. Sampling at Station THB2 during mid-ebb tide on 11 and 23 June 2014 was cancelled due to adverse weather condition.
7. Sampling at Station THB2 during mid-flood tide on 16 June 2014 was cancelled due to adverse weather condition.

**Table C2 Action and Limit Levels of Water Quality for Dredging, Backfilling and Capping Activities**

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Action Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
Dissolved Oxygen (DO) <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>Surface and Mid-depth</u> <sup>(2)</sup> The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are < 5%-ile of baseline data for surface and middle layer = <b>4.32 mg L<sup>-1</sup></b>  and  Significantly less than the reference stations mean DO (at the same tide of the same day)	<u>Surface and Mid-depth</u> <sup>(2)</sup> The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are < <b>4 mg L<sup>-1</sup></b>  and  Significantly less than the reference stations mean DO (at the same tide of the same day)
	<u>Bottom</u> The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are < 5%-ile of baseline data for bottom layers = <b>3.12 mg L<sup>-1</sup></b>  and  Significantly less than the reference stations mean DO (at the same tide of the same day)	<u>Bottom</u> The average of the impact station, WSR 45C and WSR 46 readings are < <b>2 mg L<sup>-1</sup></b>  and  Significantly less than the reference stations mean DO (at the same tide of the same day)
Depth-averaged Suspended Solids (SS) <sup>(3) (4)</sup>	The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are > 95%-ile of baseline data for depth average = <b>21.60 mg L<sup>-1</sup></b>  and  120% of control station's SS at the same tide of the same day	The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are > 99%-ile of baseline data for depth average = <b>40.10 mg L<sup>-1</sup></b>  and  130% of control station's SS at the same tide of the same day
Depth-averaged Turbidity (Tby) <sup>(3) (4)</sup>	The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are > 95%-ile of baseline data = <b>25.04 NTU</b>  and  120% of control station's Tby at the same tide of the same day	The average of the impact, WSR 45C and WSR 46 station readings are > 99%-ile of baseline data = <b>32.68 NTU</b>  and  130% of control station's Tby at the same tide of the same day

**Notes:**

- (1) For DO, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is lower than the limits.
- (2) The Action and Limit Levels for DO for Surface & Middle layers were calculated from the combined pool of baseline surface layer data and baseline middle layer data.
- (3) "Depth-averaged" is calculated by taking the arithmetic means of reading of all three depths.
- (4) For turbidity and SS, non-compliance of the water quality limits occurs when monitoring result is higher than the limits.

**Table C3 Results of Baseline Monitoring conducted for SB CMPs in July and August 2012**

Parameter	Detection Limit	Stations around SB CMP			EPD Stations (NM1, NM2, NM3, NM5 and NM6)		
		Average	Min	Max	Average	Min	Max
DO (mg/L)	0.1	5.6	2.5	12.2	5.1	2.3	10.7
Turbidity (NTU)	0.1	9.5	1.5	74.9	9.6	1.9	120.1
SS (mg/L)	2	9.9	3.1	130.7	8.8	0.8	49.3
Arsenic (µg/L)	10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Cadmium (µg/L)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2
Chromium (µg/L)	1	1.5	1.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	3.0
Copper (µg/L)	1	2.3	1.0	13.0	1.2	1.0	11.0
Lead (µg/L)	1	1.3	1.0	2.0	5.0	1.0	9.0
Mercury (µg/L)	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Nickel (µg/L)	1	2.2	1.0	7.0	2.1	1.0	5.0
Silver (µg/L)	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Zinc (µg/L)	10	18.9	10.0	173.0	23.7	10.0	224.0
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/L)	0.01	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.4
TIN (mg/L)	0.1	0.8	0.3	1.7	0.8	0.2	1.8
BOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/L)	2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2

**Table C4 Water Column Profiling Results for CMP 1 on 10 June 2014**

Stations	Temp (°C)	Salinity (ppt)	Turbidity (NTU)	Dissolved Oxygen (%) (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )		pH (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Suspended Solids (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )
WCP 1 (Downstream)	27.27	22.28	2.95	103.24	7.23	7.94	6.55
WCP 2 (Upstream)	26.62	24.84	3.35	84.55	5.90	7.85	6.98
WQO (wet season)	N/A	21.20-27.32#	N/A	N/A	>4	6.5-8.5	12.00

**Note:** #Not exceeding 10% of natural ambient level which is the result obtained from the Reference Station.

Annex D

## Dredging Record for CMP 2 in June 2014

Table D1 Dredging Record at SB CMP 2

Date	Daily Dredging Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Weekly Dredging Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) (From Sunday to Saturday)
01-Jun-2014	12,418	69,186
02-Jun-2014	7,983	
03-Jun-2014	4,435	
04-Jun-2014	11,531	
05-Jun-2014	10,644	
06-Jun-2014	11,531	
07-Jun-2014	10,644	
08-Jun-2014	7,096	51,446
09-Jun-2014	1,774	
10-Jun-2014	887	
11-Jun-2014	11,531	
12-Jun-2014	9,757	
13-Jun-2014	10,644	
14-Jun-2014	9,757	
15-Jun-2014	9,757	56,768
16-Jun-2014	9,757	
17-Jun-2014	7,096	
18-Jun-2014	11,531	
19-Jun-2014	9,757	
20-Jun-2014	8,870	
21-Jun-2014	0	
22-Jun-2014	2,661	52,333
23-Jun-2014	7,096	
24-Jun-2014	7,096	
25-Jun-2014	7,983	
26-Jun-2014	9,757	
27-Jun-2014	8,870	
28-Jun-2014	8,870	
29-Jun-2014	10,644	20,401
30-Jun-2014	9,757	

Annex E

## Study Programme

